

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,039	07/30/2001	Yong Mi Choi-Sledeski	P24450-E US1	3370	
75	90 01/24/2003				
Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP 2600 Aramark Tower 1101 Market Street			EXAMINER		
			TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO		
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		. 1624		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			CHOI-SLEDESKI ET AL.			
		09/918,039				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Tamthom N. Truong	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 N</u>	lovember 2002				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3)	, _		association as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 6, 8-12, and 22-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 6, 8-12, 21-25, and 28-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) D Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/918,039

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of the species with Ar¹ as pyrrolo[3,2-c]pyridin in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

With claims 3-5, 7, 13, 15-21, and 42 cancelled, only claims 1, 2, 6, 8-12, and 22-41 remain for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8-12, 21-25, and 28-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:
 - a. The rings defined for Ar₁ appear to have complete valence. Thus, it is not clear where the point of attachment is. Also, in some places, said rings are represented by Ar¹ (i.e., superscripted Ar), but in other places they are represented by Ar₁ (i.e., subscripted Ar). Thus, it is not certain which variable is intended.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,039

Art Unit: 1624

- b. Claim 22 recites the limitation of "Z is bonded to said moiety...", which is not clear what "said moiety" is. It appears that applicant refers "said moiety" to "Ar₁" (or "Ar¹"). Thus, clarification is solicited.
- c. Claim 23 lacks antecedent basis because it recites X_5 , X_{5a} , and X_{5b} to be "proximal" to Z, which is not as recited in claim 1.
- d. Claim 29 lacks antecedent basis because it recites –CH₂-NH-(pyridyl) as one of the choices for Ar₁, which is not the case in claim 1.
- e. Claims 2, 6, 8-12, 21-22, 24, 25, 28, and 30-41 are rejected as being dependent on claim 1 or 23, and carry over limitations of said claims.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 26 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Said claims recite species that are not taught by any reference of record.

References cited on PTO-892

4. A search in the pertinent art area yields references that bear relevant subject matter, in particular, WO 99/67288. However, the publication date of WO'288 does not antedate the effective filing date of the instant application. Thus, it is not a competent prior art. As for the

Application/Control Number: 09/918,039

Art Unit: 1624

teaching of **Cheng et. al.** (US'464, and US'507), it does not disclose pyrrolidinone as a substituent on pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine ring. Without a bridging reference, said teaching cannot render obvious the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 703-305-4485. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

T. Truong

January 21, 2003